



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

TD

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/966,954	11/10/1997	JOHANNES R. GERARDUS DE VRIES	6211P001	6312

7590 10/16/2006

Jordan M. Becker  
Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP  
12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1030

EXAMINER

PETRANEK, JACOB ANDREW

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2183

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	08/966,954	GERARDUS DE VRIES, JOHANNES R.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jacob Petranek	2183	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jacob Petranek. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jordan Becker. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 11 October 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 44.

Identification of prior art discussed: Labrousse et al. (U.S. 5,313,551), Chuang (U.S. 4,766,566), and Simpson (U.S. 5,487,022).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendment to claim 44 was discussed and the examiner agreed that upon a cursory glance at the prior art that the amendments overcame the current rejections. Amendments to the specification from the IMAGINE user manual were also discussed. The examiner agreed that amending the specification from the user manual didn't result in new matter because the document has already been part of the specification through being an appendix.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**EDDIE CHAN**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required